National Developments in Addressing Older Adult Malnutrition: From Research to Policies to Programs
Objectives

1. Describe where federal nutrition programs are adequate or may fall short in meeting specific older adult nutrition needs and outline 3 steps for improvement.

2. Define how older adult nutrition needs (including sarcopenia) are being considered during the development process of the 2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans and describe opportunities for public comment.

3. Review opportunities for national health goals on malnutrition/undernutrition and where there are gaps in including malnutrition/undernutrition screening measures in national health surveys; describe the case example of how malnutrition/undernutrition screening measures are being integrated into the Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey.
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Introduction

• Why older adult malnutrition?

• Several key policy wins in the last year
  • FY 2020 funding
  • GAO report
  • Older Americans Act (OAA) reauthorization

• And, most recently, addition of malnutrition screening to two Qualified Clinical Data Registries (QCDRs)

• Speakers will discuss aspects of malnutrition including research, policy and practice, per the title
Progress on Quality Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Premier Clinician Performance Registry</th>
<th>U.S. Wound Registry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure #1: Completion of a Screening for Malnutrition Risk and Referral to a Registered Dietitian Nutritionist for At-Risk Patients</td>
<td>Measure Title: Completion of a Screening for Malnutrition Risk and Referral to a Registered Dietitian Nutritionist for At-Risk Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure #2: Assessment of Nutritionally At-Risk Patients for Malnutrition and Development of Nutrition Recommendations/Interventions by a Registered Dietitian Nutritionist**</td>
<td>Measure Title: Assessment of Nutritionally At-Risk Patients for Malnutrition and Development of Nutrition Recommendations/Interventions by a Registered Dietitian Nutritionist**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure #3: Appropriate Documentation of Malnutrition Diagnosis**</td>
<td>Measure Title: Obtaining Preoperative Nutritional Recommendations from a Registered Dietitian Nutritionist (RDN) in Nutritionally At-Risk Surgical Patients**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure #4: Nutritional Care Plan Communicated to Post-Discharge Provider</td>
<td>Measure Title: Appropriate Documentation of Malnutrition Diagnosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Progress is being made on malnutrition quality measures
- Late in 2019, the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services approved inclusion of 4 Malnutrition Quality Measures into two Qualified Clinical Data Registries
- The Premier Clinical Performance Registry and the US Wound Registry
- This is an important victory achieved through strong advocacy led by the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics
Quality Measures Outlook

• The Academy notes that this action is a recognition that malnutrition quality measures are “vital in advancing evidence based high quality care.”

• Further information on this is expected soon

• It is hoped this development may help in the larger effort to get CMS to approve either the four malnutrition measures or a composite measure for the hospital inpatient quality reporting program
COVID-19: Challenges and Opportunities

• Would be remiss if I did not briefly discuss COVID-19 and the direct impact it has had on nutrition programs and services

• Has caused real hardships in the community

• In response, Congress has provided a total of $750 million for Older Americans Act nutrition programs and added local and state flexibility

• Has also increased money for other nutrition programs

• However, gaps still remain, as our other speakers will discuss
Gaps in Malnutrition/Undernutrition Screening Measures
Jaime Gahche, PhD, MPH
Malnutrition / Undernutrition Screening Measures in National Health Surveys

- Opportunities for national health goals
- Gaps in measures of national health surveys
- Integration of measures into national health surveys, a case example
Screening in Federal Surveys

• Screening tests or measures in population surveys aim to assess the prevalence and incidence of a condition or disease

• Federal surveys that collect data on health provide vital information
  • Provide statistics to understand the magnitude of a problem
  • Provide data for policies to address the problem
  • Provide data over time to evaluate the impact of certain policies

Without appropriate data collected, moving forward by setting national goals can be extremely difficult!
Why Screen?

Detect possible presence of malnutrition in those without obvious signs and symptoms

Helps to:
- Treat more effectively with early detection
- Identify lifestyle & environmental changes to reduce risk
- Surveillance and monitoring
Measures Included in 9 Common Malnutrition Screeners

- BMI
- Appetite loss
- Unintentional weight loss
- Hand grip strength
- Mobility/functionality
- Chewing/swallowing
- Depression
- Cognitive function
- Recent hospitalizations
- Polypharmacy
- Comorbidities
- Food Security
- Dietary intake adequacy
- Number of daily meals
- Taste functionality
- Fluid intake/hydration status
- Bowel regularity

Malnutrition Screening Tools Identified

Body Mass Index (BMI), Council on Nutrition Appetite Questionnaire (CNAQ) and Simplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire (SNAQ), Malnutrition Screening Tool (MST), Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST), Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA), Mini Nutritional Assessment Short Form (MNA-SF), SCALES, and Seniors in the Community Risk Evaluation for Eating and Nutrition (SCREEN I or SCREEN II)
MaNuEL Study

- Best summary: EU’s MaNuEL Project
  - 34 tools / 119 studies,
  - 20 tools / 36 studies in community
MaNueL’s Best Tool for Community: SCREEN II-AB: 14 Questions

• Appetite
• Chewing and swallowing
• Food intake questions
• Weight loss
• Chewing and swallowing
• Ability to shop and prepare food
• Food restrictions
• Motivation to cook
• Isolation and loneliness
# Canadian Nutrition Screening Tool (CNST)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ask the patient the following questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Have you lost weight in the past 6 months without trying to lose this weight?  
If the patient reports a weight loss but gained it back, consider it as a NO weight loss. |     |    |
| Have you been eating less than usual for more than a week? |     |    |
| Two “YES” answers indicate nutrition risk |     |    |

- If patient is uncertain regarding weight loss, ask if clothing is now fitting more loosely.
- If the patient is unable to answer the questions, a knowledgeable informant can be used to obtain the information.

2 item short version of SCREEN focuses on weight loss and appetite
• Used in Canadian National Provincial Survey

Population-based data now available for comparison to local surveys in Canada
Malnutrition Screening Tool (MST)

Similar to CNST
- Unintentional weight loss
- Appetite loss
Current Status of Federal Surveys

1. Identify US national surveys that include participants who are older adults (aged 60+ years)
2. Review survey data collection instruments (recent protocols)
3. Determine whether surveys collect malnutrition measures
4. Define opportunities for risk screening in those US National Surveys
Eight Surveys Identified, But How Do They Measure Up?

*Part of the depression questionnaire: During the last 12 months, was there ever a time lasting two weeks or more when you lost interest in most things like hobbies, work, or activities that usually give you pleasure? During those same two weeks, did you lose your appetite?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Surveys</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Weight loss (unintentional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Appetite loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hand grip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Number of daily meals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dietary intake adequacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Physical functioning/mobility, ADLs, IADLs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Disability (i.e., vision and hearing impairment)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

- Measured height/weight
- Unintentional weight loss
- DEXA (body composition)
- Detailed data on dietary intake
- # meals on a given day
- Cognitive functioning
- Physical functioning (IADL, ADL)

Potential measures to help fill knowledge gaps:
- Appetite loss
- Grip strength

Health and Retirement Study (HRS)

- Measured height/weight
- Grip strength and other physical functioning tests (i.e., gait speed, balance)
- Cognitive functioning
- Physical functioning (IADL, ADL)
- Appetite loss (problem with referent period)

Potential measures to help fill knowledge gaps:
- Appetite loss
- Unintentional weight loss
- Simple diet questions

National Health & Aging Trends Study (NHATS)

- Self-reported height/weight
- Unintentional weight loss
- Grip strength and other physical functioning tests (i.e., gait speed, balance)
- Cognitive functioning
- Physical functioning (IADL, ADL)
- Appetite loss (problem with referent period)

Potential measures to help fill knowledge gaps:
- Appetite loss
- Simple diet questions
Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey (MCBS) Conducted by the Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services

MCBS examines recipients annually in their home, follows for 4 years, collects data on health, participants are linked to Medicare claims data.

Additions will provide prevalence, incidence for malnutrition and links to health outcomes & mortality.

Measured hand grip strength, height and weight, balance
Reported unintentional weight loss, appetite loss and dietary supplement use

Canada collects similar information that may be useful for comparative purposes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Target population/ type of study</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Types of data collected</th>
<th>Year of survey reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
<td>~5,000/yr</td>
<td>Non-institutionalized population/cross-sectional</td>
<td>Home / mobile examination</td>
<td>Questionnaires, physical measures</td>
<td>2017-18, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NHANES)(^1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Health Interview Survey (NHIS)(^3)</td>
<td>35,000 households/87,500 persons/yr</td>
<td>Non-institutionalized population/cross-sectional</td>
<td>Home</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td>2017, 2018, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey (MCBS)(^2)</td>
<td>~12,000/given time</td>
<td>Medicare population/longitudinal</td>
<td>Home</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td>2017, 2018, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Health and Aging Trends Study (NHATS)(^3)</td>
<td>~8,500/given time</td>
<td>Medicare beneficiaries ages 65+yr/longitudinal</td>
<td>Home</td>
<td>Questionnaires, physical measures</td>
<td>2017, 2018, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Survey of Older Americans Act Participants (NSOAAP)(^4)</td>
<td>~6,000/given time</td>
<td>Area Agencies on Aging, serving those 60+yr</td>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Population Survey-Food Security Supplement (CPS-FSS)(^5)</td>
<td>~37,000 households/90,000 persons/yr</td>
<td>Non-institutionalized population 15+ yr/ cross-sectional</td>
<td>Mixed mode, home and telephone</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare Health Outcomes Surveys (HOS)(^2)</td>
<td>~1,200/given time</td>
<td>Medicare managed care/longitudinal</td>
<td>Mail, phone for non-respondents</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Retirement Study (HRS)(^6)</td>
<td>~20,000/given time</td>
<td>Non-institutionalized population /longitudinal</td>
<td>Home, follow-up conducted in person or phone</td>
<td>Questionnaires</td>
<td>2016, 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dietary Guidelines and Sarcopenia

Ryne Carney
Alliance for Aging Research

The Alliance for Aging Research is the leading nonprofit organization dedicated to accelerating the pace of scientific discoveries and their application to vastly improve the universal human experience of aging and health

• Health Education Campaign on Malnutrition
• Aging In Motion (AIM)
Aging in Motion (AIM) Coalition

A diverse group of patient, caregiver, health and aging groups working together to press for greater levels of research and innovation to develop treatments in the area of sarcopenia and age-related functional decline.

- Developed the ICD-10-CM Code for Sarcopenia
- Sought qualification of the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB)
- Patient Focused Drug Development Meeting on Sarcopenia
- Consulted on Sarcopenia Definitions and Outcomes Consortium (SDOC)
- “Nutritional Interventions in Sarcopenia: Report from the ICFSR Task Force”
Defining Sarcopenia

Broad Definition
- The progressive and generalized loss of skeletal muscle which leads to an accelerated loss of muscle mass and muscle function

Definition Evolution
- Function Incorporated
- ICD-10-MC Diagnostic Code: M62.84

Competing Definitions
- European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP)
- Foundation for the National Institutes of Health
- Asian Working Group on Sarcopenia
Issues in Sarcopenia

• Universal definition

• Treatment options
  • Currently no pharmaceutical product for the treatment of sarcopenia but some drugs in the clinical pipeline
  • Resistance training and nutritional interventions as both therapeutic and preventive measures

• Awareness
  • Poor awareness of the condition and the diagnostic tools needed to identify it

• Measuring lean muscle mass
  • Currently available tools to measure lean muscle mass such as DXA have problems
  • $D_3$ Creatine Dilution

Sarcopenia and Nutrition

• Risk of sarcopenia increases with age
  • After age 50, adults average 1-2 percent muscle mass loss annually

• The National Health and Nutrition Survey III
  • In older adults sarcopenia, poor diet quality and physical inactivity are associated with higher risk of mortality

• Underlying nutritional causes
  • Low protein intake, low energy intake, micronutrient deficiency, anorexia, malabsorption and other gastrointestinal conditions

• Obesity
  • Sarcopenia can be present in obese individuals, increasing risk of disability and mortality
Nutritional Studies

• Overlap of sarcopenia and malnutrition
  • Significant overlap between malnutrition and sarcopenia

• Health ABC Study
  • In well-functioning, community-dwelling older adults, low protein intake was associated with increased mobility limitations

• Sarcopenia and Physical FRailty IN older people: multicomponent TreatmenT trial (SPRINTT)
  • Ongoing RCT testing efficacy of multicomponent interventions in the prevention of mobility disability in older adults with sarcopenia and frailty

• Needs for future studies
  • Large RCTs in heterogenous real-world populations
  • Development of alternative to physical activity and nutritional interventions
  • Inclusion of more sarcopenic study participants
  • Non-protein and vitamin nutritional intervention research
ESPEN Expert Group Guidelines

- European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism held a Workshop on Protein Requirements in Nov. 2013
- Key takeaway: To prevent or delay adverse consequences, encouraged increased intake of dietary protein for adults 65 and older compared to younger adults

Table 1
Practical guidance for optimal dietary protein intake and exercise for older adults above 65 years.

Recommendations

For healthy older adults, we recommend a diet that includes at least 1.0–1.2 g protein/kg body weight/day.
For certain older adults who have acute or chronic illnesses, 1.2–1.5 g protein/kg body weight/day may be indicated, with even higher intake for individuals with severe illness or injury.
We recommend daily physical activity for all older adults, as long as activity is possible. We also suggest resistance training, when possible, as part of an overall fitness regimen.

**Table 1**
Clinical Practice Guidelines for Older People with Sarcopenia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guideline</th>
<th>Strength of Evidence</th>
<th>Certainty of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Screening</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A. Older adults aged 65 years and older should be screened for sarcopenia annually, or after the occurrence of major health events</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B. Screening for sarcopenia can be performed using gait speed, or with the SARC-F questionnaire</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C. Individuals screened as positive for sarcopenia should be referred for further assessment to confirm the presence of the disease</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Diagnosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A. It is recommended that health practitioners use an objective measurement tool for the diagnosis of Sarcopenia, utilizing any of the published consensus definitions</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B. DXA should be used to determine low lean mass when diagnosing sarcopenia</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C. Walking speed or grip strength should be used to determine low levels of muscle strength and physical performance respectively when diagnosing sarcopenia</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Physical Activity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A. In patients with sarcopenia, prescription of resistance-based training may be effective to improve lean mass, strength and physical function</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Protein</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A. We recommend clinicians consider protein supplementation/a protein-rich diet for older adults with sarcopenia</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4B. Clinicians may also consider discussing with patients the importance of adequate calorie and protein intake</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4C. Nutritional (protein) intervention should be combined with a physical activity intervention</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. Vitamin D</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5A. Insufficient evidence exists to determine whether a Vitamin D supplementation regime by itself is effective in older adults with sarcopenia</td>
<td>Insufficient evidence</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. Anabolic Hormones</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6A. The current evidence is insufficient to recommend anabolic hormones for the management of sarcopenia</td>
<td>Insufficient evidence</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7. Pharmacologic Interventions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7A. Pharmacological interventions are not recommended as first-line therapy for the management of sarcopenia</td>
<td>Insufficient evidence</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8. Research</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8A. Future international collaboration and large-scale RCTs focusing specifically on older people with sarcopenia are recommended</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2020-2025 Dietary Guidelines for Americans

• Provide food-based recommendations to promote health, help prevent diet-related chronic diseases, and meet nutrient needs

• Timeline of activities

• “What is the relationship between dietary patterns consumed and sarcopenia”
  • Implementation phase

• The OAA requires that all meals served using OAA funds adhere to the current Dietary Guidelines for Americans, provide a minimum of one-third of the Dietary Reference Intakes, meet state and local food safety and sanitation requirements, and be appealing to older adults
Conclusion

• There is continued work to develop national malnutrition standards and goals, such as in national surveys and Healthy People 2030

• Screening provides opportunity to benchmark state and local estimates/measures

• Screening for malnutrition should be institutionalized throughout the health care system

• The 2020-2025 Dietary Guidelines for Americans will hopefully include recommendations on older adult nutrition and sarcopenia

• Through federal actions, steps have been taken to identify opportunities where they can meet the needs of older adults, and more action should be taken in the future
Take Action

• Educate colleagues and the older adults you work with on the importance of nutrition for good health

• Screen for malnutrition in your institution

• Join the Defeat Malnutrition Today (DMT) coalition: https://www.defeatmalnutrition.today/
  • Work to support the state and federal actions outlined on DMT’s website

• Join the Aging in Motion (AIM) coalition: https://www.aginginmotion.org/about/join_aim/
Resources

• Defeat Malnutrition Today (DMT) COVID-19 Information
  • https://www.defeatmalnutrition.today/covid-19

• GAO Report
  • https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-20-18

• Malnutrition QCDRs

• MaNuEL Study
  • https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29576345

• Canadian Nutrition Screening Tool (CNST)
  • https://nutritioncareincanada.ca/sites/default/uploads/files/CNST.pdf

• Malnutrition Screening Tool (MST)
This webinar was presented on May 5, 2020 as part of the virtual Aging in America 2020 Conference.